



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/830,756	09/830,756 11/27/2001		Heinz Epping	GK-EIS-1041 2148 /500593.20033	
26418	7590	04/15/2004		EXAMINER	
REED SM	•		HARVEY,	HARVEY, DIONNE	
ATTN: PATENT RECORDS DEPARTMENT 599 LEXINGTON AVENUE, 29TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10022-7650				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2643	10
				DATE MAILED: 04/15/2004	16

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/830,756	EPPING ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dionne N Harvey	2643			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This  3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 22-29,38,39 and 41 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 30-37 and 40 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of the conference of the output of the correction of the output of the output of the correction of the output of the output of the correction of the output of	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the formula of the following $\square$ be held in abeyance. See in is required if the drawing $\square$ is object to be a second in the drawing $\square$ is object to be a second in the drawing $\square$ is object to be a second in the drawing $\square$ is object to be a second in the drawing $\square$ is object to be a second in the drawing $\square$ in the drawing $\square$ in the drawing $\square$ is object to be a second in the drawing $\square$ in th	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2643

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawings**

- 1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show width of the sound inlet as described in page 8 of the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore:
  - a. The "width" of the sound inlet which is determined along the periphery of the microphone, as recited in claims 25 and 26;
  - b. The "closure element", and the associated "mouth", and "opening" of the "closure element" as recited in claim 29

...Must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 2643

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 25,26,29 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

- a. Regarding claims 25 and 26, the specification fails to clearly describe how one of ordinary skill in the art would determine the "width" of the sound inlet by reference to the periphery of the microphone.
- b. Regarding claim 29, the specification fails to enable one of ordinary skill in the art to construct a damping element further comprising a closure element in the front mouth of the sound duct and with an opening corresponding to the mouth opening of the sound passage. Clarification is required.
- c. Regarding claim 38, on page 10, lines 22-25, the specification teaches a second embodiment which is illustrated in figures 5 and 6, the "diaphragm fixing portion" (5) is positioned opposite the "diaphragm holding portion" (37). However, it is unclear from the applicant's disclosure how the "diaphragm fixing portion" (5) of figures 5 and 6 operates to "fix" the

Page 3

Art Unit: 2643

diaphragm. Instead, it appears that the "diaphragm fixing portion" (5) actually operates to "fix" the magnetic circuit of the microphone.

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 27,29 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- a. Regarding claim 27, What is meant by "interrupted"?
- b. Regarding claim 29, does the "closure element" refer back to the "damping element" of claim 23 or is it a separate element?; This claim is misdescriptive since it is unclear how the "closure element" operates to "close" if it is provided with an opening; Claim 29 recites the limitation "the mouth opening of the sound passage" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Clarification OR cancellation is required.
- c. Regarding claim 38, With reference to figures 5 and 6, the "diaphragm fixing portion" (5) appears not to "fix" the diaphragm and is therefore misdescriptive.

Art Unit: 2643

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 22-29 and 38,39 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hagey (US 4,410,770).

Regarding claim 22, in figure 1, Hagey teaches a microphone comprising a diaphragm (48) having a first surface, being in the direction of the ambient air, and second surface being in the direction of the microphone housing; the first surface oriented toward the sound source (originating from ambient) and the second surface which is at least partially acoustically separated from the first surface via mounting at its' perimeter portion to the end surface (38) of ring (36), the second surface facing away from the sound source; at least one slot-shaped sound inlet (45) through which sound waves pass to the second surface forming an acoustic inductance so that the passed sound waves have a delay; and at least one damping element (68); said sound inlet having an acoustic resistance being less than the damping element; and wherein the sound waves first pass through said slot-shaped sound inlet (45) before reaching said at least one damping element (68).

Regarding claim 23, Hagey teaches that the damping element is formed by a sound passage (62) provided with acoustic damping material (68) and which connects a cavity (73) to the volume (102) delimited by the second diaphragm surface.

Art Unit: 2643

Regarding claim 24, Hagey teaches that the sound inlets have a substantially rectangular cross section (see aperture 46).

Regarding claim 25, Hagey teaches that the height of the inlet (45) is less than the length via beveled surface (34), that sound flow is along a longitudinal direction, and as best understood with respect to the U.S.C. 112 first paragraph rejection above, Hagey appears to teach that the length of the inlet is less than the width.

Regarding claim 26, as best understood with respect to the U.S.C. 112 first paragraph rejection above, Hagey appears to teach that the width of the sound inlet corresponds to the periphery of the microphone.

Regarding claim 27, as best understood with respect to the U.S.C. 112 second paragraph rejection above, Hagey appears to teach that the sound inlet is interrupted only by support portions.

Regarding claim 28, Hagey teaches that the peripheral edge of the diaphragm (50) is fixed to diaphragm fixing portion (36,38).

Regarding claim 29, as best understood with regard to the U.S.C 112 first and second paragraph rejections above, Hagey appears to teach a closure element arranged in front of a mouth of a sound duct having an opening substantially corresponding to the mouth opening of the sound passage and which is provided with acoustic damping material.

Regarding Claim 38, as best understood with regard to the U.S.C 112 first and second paragraph rejections above, Hagey teaches that the sound inlet (45) is disposed between the diaphragm fixing portion (36,38) and a holding portion (54) on the

Art Unit: 2643

diaphragm. Given the broadness of the claimed "holding portion", the Examiner has interpreted the bobbin member as the "holding portion" of the claim.

Regarding Claim 39, Since bobbins are well known in the art as being annular in shape, the Examiner as interpreted the annular bobbin as providing the "ring" of the claim.

Regarding Claim 41, Hagey teaches the sound inlet being formed between the diaphragm fixing portion (36,38) and a casing portion (14,72) which substantially encloses the second diaphragm surface.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 30 and 40 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claims 31-37 are objected to due to their dependency upon claim 30.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dionne N Harvey whose telephone number is 703-305-1111. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-6:30 M-F and alternating Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz can be reached on 703-305-4708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2643

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dionne Harvey, Examiner

PRIMARY EXAMINER